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Title annulenediones 5-9 were synthesized by intermolecular oxidative coupling of 6-methylocta3.5 -dien-7-yn-2-one 13 or its vinylogous ketones 14 and 15 to afford the diones 17-21 and then by an aldol condensation of these diones 17-21 with ( $Z$ )-3-methylpent-2-en-4-ynal 10, followed by intramolecular oxidative coupling of the resulting acyclic diacetylenes 22-26. The properties of the title compounds 5-9 are discussed on the basis of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and electronic spectra.

In previous papers, we have confirmed the alternation of the tropic nature between $[4 n+2] \pi$ - and $[4 n] \pi$-electron systems arising from polarization of a carbonyl group in dimethyl- or trimethyl-tetradehydroannulenones 1 with 13 - to 25 -membered rings. ${ }^{1,2}$ On the other hand, although several monocyclic annulenediones with an additional carbonyl group are known, ${ }^{3}$ there are few with a $20-30$-membered ring. The only known example, the tetra-tert-butyloctadehydrodihydro-[26]annulenedione, was prepared in a study of annulenoannulenes, ${ }^{4}$ but its properties were not studied in detail. Judging from the fact that annulenones showed a much smaller degree of dia- or paratropicity than did the corresponding carbocyclic annulenes, ${ }^{5}$ annulenediones were expected to show an even smaller tropicity than do annulenones. However, the dimethylhexadehydrodihydro[16]annulenedione 2 prepared by Lombardo and Sondheimer proved to be clearly diatropic. ${ }^{6}$ As for the methanobridged annulenediones we reported the syntheses of a series of annulenediones 3 and showed that the [22]annulenedione ( $\mathbf{3}$; $m=1, n=0)^{7}$ and the [24]annulenedione (3; $\left.m=n=1\right)^{8}$ exhibit paratropicity and diatropicity, respectively, in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, suggesting the formation of the dicationic species. Also, we recently reported the preparation of a series of methanobridged annulenediones 4 containing a 1,4 -dichlorobutatriene moiety and the formation of strongly diatropic dicationic species therefrom in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} .{ }^{9}$

This, and the availability of 6 -methylocta-3,5-dien-7-yn-2one $13^{1 a .10}$ and its vinylogues 14 and $15^{1 b, 2}$ in connection with studies to search for two-dimensional, $\pi$-electron-conjugated compounds with nonlinear optical behaviour, ${ }^{11}$ prompted us to prepare the monocyclic annulenediones 5-9. As described in detail below, careful examination of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of diones 5-9 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ revealed that these compounds were almost atropic in this solvent. However, the octadehydrodihydro[26]annulenediones 5A and 5B exhibited strong paratropicity in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, reflecting the formation of the corresponding dideuteronated species 27 and 28 respectively, while the octadehydrodihydro[28] annulenediones 6A and 6B formed the dideuteronated species 29 and 30 in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ respectively, which exhibited strong diatropicity; ${ }^{12}$ these are the largest monocyclic, dideuteronated annulenedione species to show the ringcurrent effect and the species 27 and 28 are the first examples of the monocyclic dicationic, paratropic species obtained so far. ${ }^{3}$

## Results and Discussion

Synthesis.-The octadehydrodihydroannulenediones 5-9 were synthesized according to the reaction sequence illustrated
in Scheme 1. The starting material was ( $Z$ )-3-methylpent-2-en4 -ynal $10{ }^{1 a, 10}$ Successive homologations of the aldehyde 10 to the vinylogous aldehydes 11 and 12 were carried out according to the previously reported method. ${ }^{2,13}$ Aldol condensations of the aldehydes $10-12$ with acetone in the presence of aq. ethanolic sodium hydroxide afforded the corresponding methyl ketones $13-15$, respectively, as reported previously. ${ }^{1,2}$

3,11-Dimethyltrideca-3,5,8,10-tetraene-1,12-diyn-7-one 16 was the precursor of the tetradehydro[13]annulenone 1 ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H} ; m=n=1$ ) and was prepared by aldol condensation of the methyl ketone 13 with the aldehyde 10. ${ }^{1 a}$ We initially considered that the octadehydrodihydro[26]annulenedione 5 would be obtainable by dimerization of compound 16 by using Eglinton's method ${ }^{14}$ at low temperature, because the formation of compound $1(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H} ; m=n=1)$ proceeded when compound 16 was heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to bring the two terminal acetylene groups close together. ${ }^{1 a}$ However, oxidative coupling of compound 16 at low temperature gave only a small amount of the desired compound 5, accompanied by formation of the tetradehydro[13] annulenone $\mathbf{1}(\mathrm{R}=\mathbf{H} ; m=n=1)$. Therefore we prepared the title compounds 5-9 by the stepwise reaction sequence as illustrated in Scheme 1.

The intermolecular oxidative couplings or cross-couplings using the methyl ketones 13-15 afforded the tetramethyl diones $17-21$ in $30-78 \%$ yield. Of these, the dione 17 was a known compound. ${ }^{10}$ Aldol condensation of the diones 17-21 with the aldehyde 10 in the presence of conc. ethanolic sodium ethoxide in benzene proceeded successfully, and afforded the corresponding acyclic diones $22-26$ in $47-73 \%$ yield. Oxidative coupling of compounds 22-26 was carried out with copper(II) acetate monohydrate in pyridine and methanol (the Eglinton method) ${ }^{14}$ under high-dilution conditions with diethyl ether as an entraining solvent, ${ }^{10}$ and gave rise to the monomeric cyclic compounds 5-9 in 11-45\% yield. In the couplings of compounds 22, 25 and 26 tetrahydrofuran (THF) was used as a co-solvent owing to the low solubility of these compounds in pyridine (see Experimental section). It is noteworthy that the intramolecular oxidative couplings in the preparation of compounds 5-9 proceeded in less satisfactory yield than in the case of the preparation of the methano-bridged annulenediones $3,{ }^{7,8}$ presumably because of the lower thermal stability of compounds 22-26 compared with the corresponding acyclic precursors of compounds 3. Recrystallization of the unsymmetrical dione $\mathbf{2 5}$ from THF gave crystals containing solvent of crystallization in a molar ratio of $1: 1$, as evidenced by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra and elemental analysis (see Experimental section).
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Scheme 1

Structures of these annulenediones are discussed in the next section. Both the first and the second aldol condensation always gave the products with $E$-configuration for the newly formed double bonds, as shown in their structural formulae. However, in the formation of the octadehydrodihydro-[26]-5,-[28]-6, -[30]-7 and -[34]annulenedione 9, partial configurational isomerization of a double bond occurred during the intramolecular oxidative coupling and two geometric isomers were obtained for compounds 5-7 (see below). It should be noted that the isolated yield of the isomers does not necessarily correspond to the relative thermodynamic stability of the isomers. A similar isomerization has been observed in the preparation of methano-bridged annulenediones $3^{8}$ and 4, ${ }^{9}$
methanothiaannulenes, ${ }^{15}$ tetradehydro[15]annulenone ${ }^{16}$ and tetradehydrotribenzo[14]annulenone. ${ }^{17}$
The octadehydrodihydro-[26]annulenedione 5, -[28]annulenedione 6, -[30]annulenedione $7,-[32]$ annulenedione 8 and -[34]annulenedione 9, thus obtained, formed thermally relatively stable, yellow, yellow, orange, red or dark red crystals, respectively.

Structural and Chemical-shift Assignments.-Chemical-shift assignments of the olefinic protons in the annulenediones 5-9 and their precursors 22-26 were made as follows. Broad doublet signals were assigned to the terminal protons of the polyenone chain adjacent to methyl groups, because the broadening was
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due to allylic coupling to methyl protons as revealed by decoupling experiments, while sharp doublets were assigned to the protons adjacent to carbonyl groups. Then the proton sequence along the polyene moiety was determined by successive decoupling experiments. When an ambiguous assignment was possible, the spectral data were compared with those for the closely related, lower homologue; for example, between compounds 17 and 18, and between compounds 22 and 23 .

In all of the annulenediones examined in the present study, all the $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ bonds were found to be $E$ and all the $=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}=$ bonds were found to be s-trans from the relevant coupling constants.

The major isomer 5 A of the [26] annulenedione 5 showed one singlet for the methyl protons and only three olefinic signals, indicating a highly symmetrical structure. Irradiation of the methyl signal enhanced the intensity of the $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}$ signal due to a
nuclear Overhauser effect (NOE), indicating that $H^{A}$ was located outside the ring. Therefore both structure and chemical shifts were unambiguously assigned as shown by formula $\mathbf{5 A}$ and in Table 1. The minor isomer 5B showed six olefinic signals and two singlets for the methyl protons. Irradiation of $\mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{a}}$ enhanced the $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}$ signal while that of $\mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{b}}$ enhanced the $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{E}}$ signal, indicating that $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{E}}$ are outside the ring. Therefore two structures, 5B and 5C, were compatible with the spectral data and structure 5B was tentatively assigned because it seemed to be less strained from molecular model considerations.

In both isomers of the [28] annulenedione 6, three sets of signals for the $\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ sequence and one set for $\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CO}$ were unambiguously analysed. In the major isomer 6A, irradiation of any of the methyl signals enhanced the signal due to the proton $\beta$ to the methyl
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$\left(\mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{a}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\mathbf{A}^{\prime}}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{b}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{F}^{\prime}}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{c}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{H}}\right.$ and $\mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{d}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}$ ), clearly indicating the structure $\mathbf{6 A}$, although the chemical-shift assignments for the three sets of moieties $\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-$ CO are mutually interchangeable. In the minor isomer 6B, irradiation of the four methyl signals enhanced three $\beta$-proton signals and one $\gamma$-proton signal $\left(\mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{a}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{A}^{\prime}}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{b}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{F}^{\prime}}\right.$, $\mathbf{M e}^{\mathbf{c}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{H}}$ and $\mathbf{M e}^{\mathbf{d}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{B}}$ ). Three isomeric geometries which differ in the location of the $(E)-\mathrm{MeC}=\mathrm{CH}$ moiety are compatible with the NOE data and structure 6B is the most probable. The chemical-shift assignments for the two proton sequences, $\mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{H}^{\mathbf{A}^{\prime}}-\mathrm{H}^{\mathbf{B}^{\prime}}-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}^{\prime}}$ and $\mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{b}}-\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{F}^{\prime}}-\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{E}^{\prime}}-\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{D}^{\prime}}$ are interchangeable.


9
Both isomers of the [30]annulenedione 7 proved to have unsymmetrical structures. Structure 7A for the major isomer and the chemical shifts of the protons were unambiguously assigned on the basis of the following NOE enhancements: $\mathbf{M e}^{\mathbf{a}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}^{\prime}}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathbf{b}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{G}^{\prime}}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{c}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\mathbf{H}}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{d}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{D}^{\prime}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{B}^{\prime}}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{E}}$. Similarly, structure $7 \mathbf{B}$ was assigned to the minor isomer: $\mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{a}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{b}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{H}^{\prime}}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{c}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{H}}$, $\mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{d}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}^{\prime}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{E}^{\prime}}$. It is noteworthy that the symmetrical isomer 7C was not detected, although the reason for this is not certain.

Only one isomer was isolated for compound $\mathbf{8}$, which was only slightly soluble in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, and NOE experiments could

Table $1{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical-shift data of compounds 22-26 and 5-9 at $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(500 \mathrm{MHz} \text {; } \delta \text {-values })^{a}$

| Compd. | Solvent | Inner olefinic protons | Outer olefinic protons | Me protons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ |  |  | 2.08, 2.03 |
| 5A | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | 8.19 | 6.51, 6.29 | 1.98 |
|  | $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{D}$ | 10.09 | 6.46, 6.10 | 2.02 |
|  | $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | 11.93 | 5.87, 5.20 | 1.62 |
| 5B | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | 7.85, 7.24, 7.12 | 7.51, 6.52, 6.18 | 2.05, 2.02 |
|  | $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | 11.74, 10.15, 9.97 | 7.09, 6.00, 5.63 | 1.68, 1.57 |
| 23 | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ |  |  | 2.08 (Me), $2.03(3 \times \mathrm{Me})$ |
| 6A | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | $7.68,7.62,7.60,7.43,7.07$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6.65, 6.64, 6.60, 6.60, } 6.51, \\ & 6.47,6.46,6.45,6.45 \end{aligned}$ | 2.08, 2.07, 2.04, 2.01 |
|  | $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{D}$ |  | $7.47-7.17(9 \mathrm{H})$ | $2.54,2.50,2.50,2.46$ |
|  | $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | $5.31,5.17,5.08,4.99,4.47$ | $8.05-7.82(9 \mathrm{H})$ | $2.72,2.69,2.60,2.55$ |
| 6B | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.70,7.61,7.28,6.96,6.90, \\ & 6.77 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.57,6.70,6.61,6.60,6.59 \\ & 6.52,6.51,6.33 \end{aligned}$ | $2.14,2.10,2.05,2.03$ |
|  | $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.57,4.46,4.46,3.84,3.28 \text {, } \\ & 3.28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.04,8.02,7.92-7.72(5 \mathrm{H}), \\ & 7.51 \end{aligned}$ | $2.78,2.69,2.69,2.66$ |
| $24$ | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ |  |  | $2.04,2.03$ |
| 7A | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.98,7.66,7.60,7.40,7.24 \text {, } \\ & 7.04,7.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.37,6.79,6.55,6.49,6.47 \\ & 6.43,6.39,6.36,6.20 \end{aligned}$ | $2.09,2.08,1.92,1.91$ |
| 7B | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.17,7.75,7.62,7.41,7.29, \\ & 7.23,7.12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.51,6.58,6.47,6.41,6.41 \text {, } \\ & 6.39,6.33,6.32,6.22 \end{aligned}$ | 2.04, 2.01, 1.99, 1.97 |
| $25$ | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ |  |  | $2.03(4 \times \mathrm{Me})$ |
| 8 | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.68,7.68,7.45,7.43,7.04 \text {, } \\ & 6.87,6.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.61,6.58,6.57,6.54,6.50 \\ & 6.48,6.47,6.45,6.45,6.44 \\ & 6.40 \end{aligned}$ | 2.07, 2.04, 2.04, 2.01 |
| $26$ | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ |  |  | $2.04,2.02$ |
| 9 | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.08,7.68,7.41,7.11,7.10, \\ & 7.10,7.01,6.99,6.91 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.53,6.57,6.47-6.29(4 \mathrm{H}) \\ & 6.44,6.42,6.35,6.31,6.22 \end{aligned}$ | 2.02, 2.01, 1.99, 1.98 |

${ }^{a}$ See Experimental section for detailed data and individual assignments. For the acyclic compounds 22-26, only the data for the methyl signals are shown.
not be made, although decoupling experiments revealed the sequence of protons. Tentative structural and chemical-shift assignments were made as shown. Here again the chemical-shift assignments for the groups $\mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{a}}-\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{A}^{\prime}}-\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{B}^{\prime}}-\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{C}^{\prime}}$ and $\mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{d}}-\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}}-$ $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}}$ are interchangeable.

In compound 9, NOE experiments ( $\mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{a}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}^{\prime}}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{b}} \longrightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{J}^{\prime}}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{c}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{j}}$ and $\mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{d}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}$ ) indicated that the structure of this compound was represented by formula 9 , although complete chemical-shift assignments were not possible.

Tropicity of the Annulenediones.-The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts of the annulenediones 5-9 and the respective acyclic precursors 22-26 are listed in Table 1. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the isomeric octadehydrodihydro[26]annulenediones 5 A and 5B are shown in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively and those of octadehydrodihydro[28] annulenediones 6A and 6B are shown in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively.
The [26] annulenedione 5 was expected to show paratropicity as a $24 \pi$-electron system due to polarization of the two carbonyl groups. For isomer $\mathbf{5 A}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ the inner $\left(\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ proton is at a lower field than the corresponding $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}}$ proton of the acyclic compound 22 and the outer $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}}$ proton and the methyl protons are at a slightly higher field. This suggests that isomer $\mathbf{5 A}$ is at most weakly paratropic in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. Compound 5B is almost atropic because the methyl signals show no significant shift from those in compound 22.

If both of the carbonyl groups are protonated to form a dicationic species, compounds 5 A and 5 B would be expected to show clear paratropicity. Thus, ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectra of compounds 5A and 5B were taken in acidic media and the data are also given in Table 1.

When dissolved in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, both isomers 5 A and 5 B showed large downfield shifts of the inner protons by $2.7-3.9 \mathrm{ppm}$ and upfield shifts of the outer olefinic protons and the methyl protons by $0.4-1.1 \mathrm{ppm}$. This must be a reflection of the induction of a paramagnetic ring current indicating the presence of the dicationic species 27 and 28 where the highly delocalized $24 \pi$-electron species 27 a and 28 a contribute to a great extent. In
less acidic $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{D}$, compound $\mathbf{5 A}$ showed a smaller degree of downfield shift of the inner protons and only a slight upfield shift of the outer protons from the positions in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and no significant shift of the methyl signal, reflecting very small paratropicity in this solvent. The protonation was probably quite insufficient. Measurements of NMR spectra of compound $\mathbf{5 B}$ in $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{D}$ were abandoned because only a small amount of compound 5 B was obtained in the synthesis.
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The octadehydrodihydro[28]annulenediones $\mathbf{6 A}$ and $\mathbf{6 B}$ were expected to show diatropicity as a $26 \pi$-electron system due to polarization of the carbonyl groups. However, the proton chemical shifts of the [28]annulenediones 6A and 6B measured in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (Table 1) indicates that both isomers are atropic, since neither the downfield shift of the olefinic outer protons and the methyl protons nor the upfield shift of the olefinic inner protons are seen.


Fig. $1500 \mathrm{MHz}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of compound 5 A at $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (a) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and (b) in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ measured immediately after dissolution.
(a)


$\mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{a}}$


Fig. $2500 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of compound 5 B at $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (a) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and (b) in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ measured immediately after dissolution.


Fig. $3500 \mathrm{MHz}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of compound 6 A at $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (a) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and (b) in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ measured immediately after dissolution.

Although the [28]annulenediones 6A and 6B are atropic under neutral conditions $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$, these compounds are clearly
diatropic in acidic media, as is seen from Table 1 and Figs. 3 and 4.


Fig. $4500 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of compound 6 B at $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (a) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and (b) in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ measured immediately after dissolution.

Both isomers 6A and 6B were rather unstable in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and signals due to decomposition products grew gradually in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra at ambient temperature as shown in Figs. 3 and 4. Upon a change of solvent from $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ to $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, both isomers 6 A and 6 B showed significant upfield shifts of the inner olefinic proton signals and downfield shifts of the outer olefinic and methyl signals, indicating the induction of large diatropicity in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and reflecting the formation of dicationic species 29 and 30, to which isomers 29a and 30a contribute greatly. Compound 6 A in $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{D}$ showed considerable diatropicity, though to a lesser extent than in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. This is in contrast with the behaviour of compound 5A which showed only slight paratropicity in $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{D}$. Compound 6A may have higher basicity than its homologue 5A.
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The simplest test for the nature of the ring currents in the annulenediones 5-9 is provided by the chemical shifts of the methyl protons, since these must always be outside the ring and can readily be recognized. As is seen from Table 1, in compound 5A the methyl protons appear at a slightly higher field than those of the acyclic model compound 22, indicating that isomer $\mathbf{5 A}$ is at most weakly paratropic in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. However, the methyl protons of compounds 5B and 6-9 resonate at almost the same field as those of the corresponding acyclic model compounds 22-26, indicating that the annulenediones 5B and 6-9 are atropic under neutral conditions $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.
Attempts to obtain the corresponding dideuteronated species


Fig. 5 Electronic absorption spectra of [26]-5A (----), [28]-
 and [34]-annulenedione $9(-)$ in THF
from the annulenediones 7-9 were unsuccessful due to rapid decomposition of the diones 7-9 in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$.

Electronic Spectra of Compounds 5-9.-The electronic absorption maxima of the annulenediones 5-9, determined in both THF and sulfuric acid, are listed in Table 2, and the absorption spectra of the octadehydrodihydro[26]-5,-[28]-6, -[30]-7,-[32]-8 and -[34]-annulenedione 9 in THF are illustrated in Fig. 5. As can be seen from Fig. 5, the spectra are similar in shape and differ only in the extinction wavelengths of each band.
It is recognized that the electronic spectra of annulenes ${ }^{18}$ and the dehydroannulenones $1^{1,2}$ have been shown to alternate, the main absorption maxima (the strongest maxima) of $(4 n+2) \pi$ electron systems being at higher wavelength than those of (4n) systems. ${ }^{19}$ However, Table 2 shows that the main absorption maxima of compounds 5-9 in THF exhibit a bathochromic shift as the ring size increases, and alternation of the maxima is not exhibited, reflecting the fact that compounds 5-9 have little annulene character, as is revealed by their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra (see above).
Also, it is evident from Table 2 that all the bands of compounds 5 and 6 show a very large bathochromic shift (100200 nm ) on changing the solvent from THF to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, reflecting the degree of extended conjugation of the respective $\pi$ electron system.
In summary, this study confirmed that the octadehydrodihy-dro[26]-5 and -[28]-annulenedione 6 in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ existed as the respective dicationic species which are significantly paratropic and diatropic, respectively. This is the first confirmation of the

Table 2 Electronic absorption maxima of compounds 5-9 [a, in THF, $\lambda_{\max } / \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon_{\max } / \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) ; \mathrm{b}$, in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \lambda_{\max } / \mathrm{nm}_{\left(\varepsilon_{\max }\right.} / \mathrm{dm}^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)\right]$. Strongest absorptions are indicated in bold type

| 5A | a | 216 (22 500), 259 (26 300), 337sh (67 700), 347 (74 300), 394sh (21 700) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | b | 273 (13000), 320 (10500), 396sh (22 800), 425sh (27 800), 483 (75 900), 494sh (73 500) |
| 5B | a | 253 (30000), 330sh (70000), 345 (77000), 392sh ( 26500 ), 447sh (4 800) |
| 6A | a | 241 (20 700), 304 (49 300), 370sh (67 500), 378 (70 100), 427sh (17500), 455sh (8770) |
|  | b | 416sh ( 32 300), 497sh ( 57200 ), 527 (120 000), 656sh (11 100) |
| 6B | a | 241 (20 400), 295sh (47 300), 302 (49 400), 366sh (68 000), 377 (72 800), 427sh (19 600) |
| 7 | a | 284 (22 400), 360sh (73 100), 374 (81 300), 464sh (6 400) |
| 8 | a | 323sh ( 53500 ), 337sh ( 56300 ), 388sh (88 400), 393 (89 300) |
| 9 | a | 217 (24 200), 310sh (30 900), 379sh (89 200), 396 (96 100), 475sh (12 200) |

alternation of the tropic nature between $(4 n+2) \pi$ - and $4 n \pi-$ electron systems in monocyclic annulenediones.

## Experimental

M.p.s were determined on a hot-stage apparatus and are uncorrected. IR spectra were taken with a Hitachi $260-50$ or a JASCO-7300 spectrophotometer for samples as KBr discs and were calibrated against polystyrene; only significant maxima are described. Electronic spectra were measured in THF solution, unless otherwise specified, with a Hitachi 220A or a Shimadzu 2200A spectrophotometer. Mass spectra were recorded with a JEOL JMS-D 300 spectrometer operating at 75 eV and using a direct-inlet system, or with a JMS-D spectrometer equipped with a field-desorption system unless otherwise stated. Fast-atom bombardment mass spectra (FAB MS) were obtained on a JEOL JMS-AX 505W high-resolution double-focusing mass spectrometer equipped with a D 5000 data system. Ions were generated by bombardment of the target matrix with a neutral xenon atom beam (derived from a $\mathrm{Xe}^{+}$ ion beam accelerated at 2 kV ). Samples for FAB-MS were prepared by dissolution of the compounds in a m-nitrobenzyl alcohol matrix. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra at ambient temperature were recorded on samples as $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solutions, unless otherwise specified, with a Bruker AM-500 spectrometer at 500.14 MHz . Internal $\mathrm{SiMe}_{4}$ was used as a reference when the solvent was $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{D}$, while external $\mathrm{SiMe}_{4}$ was used when the solvent was $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} . J$ Values are given in $\mathrm{Hz} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on samples as $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solutions on the AM-500 at 125.76 MHz with internal $\mathrm{SiMe}_{4}$ as a reference. The designations $p, t$ and $q$ denote the primary, tertiary and quaternary carbons respectively.

Ethanolic sodium ethoxide ( $2.15 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ ) was used for the aldol condensations of the compounds 17-21 with the aldehyde 10 and was prepared from sodium ( 1.00 g ) and dry ethanol (20 $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ) immediately before use. Preparations of the acyclic diones 22-26 were carried out under argon and those of annulenediones 5-9 were performed using a high-dilution apparatus. Merck alumina (activity II-III) and silica gel (Merck 60, Daiso gel 1001 W or Daiso gel 1002 W) were used for column chromatography. Compounds were pre-adsorbed from diethyl ether, benzene or dichloromethane solution onto the adsorbent before column chromatography. Gel-permeation chromatography was performed on an LC-908 Liquid Chromatograph from Japan Analytical Industry Co., Ltd, using a series of JAIGEL 1H and 2 H columns and chloroform as eluent. Progress of all reactions was followed by TLC on Merck pre-coated silica gel. Organic extracts were washed with saturated aq. sodium chloride and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate prior to removal of solvent. Solvents were evaporated under water-pump pressure. Ether refers to diethyl ether.

3,11,16,24-Tetramethylhexacosa-3,5,8,10,16,18,21,23-octaene-1,12,14,25-tetrayne-7,20-dione 22.-To a stirred solution of the dione $17^{10}(0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the aldehyde $10^{1 a, 10}(3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 32$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in dry benzene $\left(90 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise by a
syringe during 20 min a mixture of ethanolic sodium ethoxide $\left(1.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ in dry benzene ( $1.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). After being stirred for 2 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the mixture was poured onto an ice-cooled mixture of saturated aq. ammonium chloride ( $300 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and benzene ( 180 $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ), and the aqueous layer was extracted with benzene. The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried. The residue obtained after removal of solvent was chromatographed on silica gel $(3.2 \times 11.5 \mathrm{~cm})$. The fractions eluted with $10 \%$ dichloromethane in benzene afforded the dione 22 (732 mg, $73 \%$ ) as yellow needles, m.p. $104-106^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.) (from hexane-THF); $m / z 419\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right)$ (FAB method) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right.$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 418.5$ ); $\lambda_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{nm} 258\left(\varepsilon / \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} 26000\right), 360$ (41 800) and 387 (44 300); $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3280(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 2190,2090$ $(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 1660,1645(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1600(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ and $990[(E)-\mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{CH}]$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.69\left(2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, dd, $J 15.4$ and $\left.11.2, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}}\right), 7.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 15.2$ and $\left.11.5, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{E}}\right), 6.57\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.5, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{F}}\right), 6.50\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.4, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}\right), 6.50$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.4, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{D}}\right), 6.49\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.5, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}}\right), 3.49(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH})$, $2.08\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ and $2.03\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ (Found: C, 85.9; H, 6.4. $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 86.1 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.3 \%$ ).

Isomeric $\quad 6,7,8,9,19,20,21,22-O c t a d e h y d r o-5,10,18,23-t e t r a-$ methyl-1,14-dihydro[26]annulene-1,14-diones 5A and 5B.-A solution of compound $22(1.13 \mathrm{~g}, 2.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a mixture of ether ( $100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and THF ( $80 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added dropwise during 6 h to a stirred and refluxing solution of copper(II) acetate monohydrate $(2.11 \mathrm{~g})$ in a mixture of pyridine $\left(60 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, ether $\left(180 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and methanol $\left(120 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $43^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After being refluxed and stirred for further 2 h , the mixture was poured onto water and extracted with dichloromethane. The combined extracts were washed successively with $3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ aq. HCl until they turned acidic to litmus, and then with aq. sodium hydrogen carbonate, and were dried and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel $(3.2 \times 8.0 \mathrm{~cm})$. The initial fractions eluted with $20-30 \%$ dichloromethane in benzene afforded one isomer (5A) of the octadehydrodihydro[26]annulenedione ( $506 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \%$ ) as yellow microcrystals, m.p. 172$174{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.) (from hexane-THF); $m / z 416\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 47 \%\right)$ and $207(100)\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right.$ requires M, 416.4); for UV data see Table 2 and Fig. $5 ; v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2190(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 1670(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1600(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ and $1000[(E)-\mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{CH}] ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.19\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 15.0\right.$ and $\left.11.8, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}}\right)$, $6.51\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.8, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}\right), 6.29\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.0, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}}\right)$ and $1.98(12 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{D}\right) 10.09\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}}\right), 6.46(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.7$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}\right), 6.10\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 14.8, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}}\right)$ and $2.02(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ $11.93\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.9\right.$ and $\left.11.8, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}}\right), 5.87\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.8, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}\right)$, $5.20\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 14.9, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}}\right)$ and $1.62(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$ and see Fig. $1 ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ 188.0 (q, C=O), 140.5 (t), 138.6 (t), 130.1 (t), 128.0 (q), 83.6 ( $\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv$ ), 82.4 ( $\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv$ ) and 22.7 (p, Me) (Found: C, 86.25 ; H, 6.0. $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 86.5 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.8 \%$ ).

The later fractions eluted with $40-50 \%$ dichloromethane in benzene afforded the other isomer (5B) of the octadehydrodihydro[26]annulenedione ( $113 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \%$ ) as brown needles, m.p. $176-178{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.) (from benzene-dichloromethane); $m / z$ $416\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 14 \%\right)$ and $178(100)\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right.$ requires $\left.\mathrm{M}, 416.4\right)$; for UV data see Table $2 ; v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2175(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 1630(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, $1590(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ and 980 and $970[(E)-\mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{CH}] ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$ 16.1 and $\left.11.2, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}}\right), 7.51\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.6\right.$ and $\left.12.0, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{E}}\right), 7.24(2 \mathrm{H}$,
$\left.\mathrm{d}, J 14.6, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{D}}\right), 7.12\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 12.0, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{F}}\right), 6.52\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.2, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}\right)$, $6.18\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 16.1, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}}\right), 2.05\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 1.2, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ and $2.02(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{a}}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right) 11.74\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 13.0, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}}\right), 10.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 14.3$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{D}}\right), 9.97\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.6, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{F}}\right), 7.09\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 13.0, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{E}}\right), 6.00(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{d}, J 11.5, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}\right), 5.63\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 14.6, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}}\right), 1.68(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$ and 1.57 $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$ and see Fig. 2; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 189.3(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 140.2(\mathrm{t}), 138.6(\mathrm{t})$, $138.3(\mathrm{t}), 136.0(\mathrm{t}), 133.5(\mathrm{t}), 128.3(\mathrm{q}), 126.7(\mathrm{q}), 125.8(\mathrm{t}), 88.5(\mathrm{q}$, $-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 88.5(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 82.9(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 81.9(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 23.1(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Me})$ and 17.2 (p, Me) (Found: C, 86.2; H, 6.0\%).

Octadehydrodihydro[26] annulenedione 5A from Ketone 16.A solution of the ketone $16^{1 a}(5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 0.024 \mathrm{~mol})$ in methanol $\left(41 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added dropwise during 1.5 h to a stirred solution of copper(II) acetate monohydrate ( 11.7 g ) in pyridinemethanol $\left(1: 1 ; 236 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After being stirred for 4.5 h at ambient temperature, the mixture was worked up as for the isolation of compound 5 . The product was chromatographed on silica gel $(2.5 \times 6.0 \mathrm{~cm})$. The early fractions eluted with hexane-benzene (1:9) afforded the tetradehydro[13]annulenone $1^{1 a}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H} ; m=n=1)(218 \mathrm{mg})$. The later fractions eluted with $10 \%$ dichloromethane in benzene afforded the octadehydrodihydro[26] annulenedione 5A ( $280 \mathrm{mg}, 5.6 \%$ ).

8,13-Dimethyloctadeca-3,5,7,13,15-pentaene-9,11-diyne-2,17dione 18.-A solution of the dienyne ketone $13^{1 b}(8.70 \mathrm{~g}, 64.8$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and the trienyne ketone $14^{1 b}(10.40 \mathrm{~g}, 64.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a mixture of pyridine ( $300 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and ether $\left(100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added dropwise during 3.5 h to a stirred solution of anhydrous copper(II) acetate ( 118 g ) in a mixture of pyridine $\left(900 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and ether $\left(300 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After being stirred for 3 h at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the mixture was worked up as for the isolation of compound 5 except for the use of benzene as the extraction solvent. The product was chromatographed on silica gel $(3.8 \times 11.0 \mathrm{~cm})$. The fractions eluted with benzene-dichloromethane ( $1: 1$ ) afforded the dione $18(5.60 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \%)$ as yellow needles, m.p. 132$134{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from MeOH ); m/z $292\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 63 \%\right.$ ) and 249 (100) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right.$ requires $\left.\mathrm{M}, 292.3\right)$; $\lambda_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{nm} 226$ ( $\varepsilon 15000$ ), 267 (19900), $313(33400), 365$ sh $(37100), 376(38400)$ and 401 sh (27 700); $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2170(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 1670$ and $1650(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1590$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1010$ and $990[(E)-\mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{CH}] ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 15.6$ and $\left.11.4, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}^{\prime}}\right), 7.24\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 15.6\right.$ and $\left.11.2, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}}\right), 7.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J 14.8$ and $\left.11.5, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{D}}\right), 6.52\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.3, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{E}}\right), 6.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $\left.11.3, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}^{\prime}}\right), 6.42\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.9\right.$ and $\left.11.8, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}}\right), 6.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $\left.15.6, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}^{\prime}}\right), 6.18\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.6, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}\right), 2.34\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{a}}\right), 2.30(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{d}}$ ), $2.08\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{b}}\right.$ ) and $2.04\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ (Found: C, 82.2; $\mathrm{H}, 6.9 . \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 82.15 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.9 \%$ ).

3,13,18,26-Tetramethyloctacosa-3,5,8,10,12,18,20,23,25-nona-ene-1,14,16,27-tetrayne-7,22-dione 23.-To a stirred solution of the dione $18(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 3.42 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the aldehyde $10(3.20 \mathrm{~g}$, $34.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry benzene $\left(130 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise by a syringe during 1 h a mixture of ethanolic sodium ethoxide ( $4.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) in dry benzene ( $4.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). After being stirred for 3 h at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the mixture was worked up as for the isolation of compound 22. The product was chromatographed on silica gel $(3.8 \times 8.0 \mathrm{~cm})$. The fractions eluted with $25 \%$ dichloromethane in benzene afforded the dione $23(880 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%)$ as red needles, m.p. $130-132^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.) (from hexane-THF); $m / z 445\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$ $+1)$ (FAB method) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right.$ requires $\left.\mathrm{M}, 444.5\right) ; \lambda_{\max } / \mathrm{nm}$ $257(\varepsilon 27800), 360(48500), 406(50300)$ and $436 \mathrm{sh}(36400)$; $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3260(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 2160$ and $2090(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 1650$ and 1640 $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1600(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ and $990[(E)-\mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{CH}] ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$ 15.4 and $\left.11.2, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}^{\prime}}\right), 7.68\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, dd, $J 15.7$ and $\left.11.0, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}}\right), 7.67(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 15.2$ and $\left.11.5, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{E}^{\prime}}\right), 7.40\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 15.2\right.$ and $\left.11.3, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{E}}\right), 7.09$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.9\right.$ and $\left.11.5, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{G}}\right), 6.57-6.45\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}^{\prime}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}}\right.$, $\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{C}^{\prime}}, \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{D}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{D}^{\prime}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{F}}, \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{F}^{\prime}}$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{H}}\right), 3.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 3.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 2.08\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ and $2.03\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{b}}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{c}} 189.4(\mathrm{q}$, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 189.1$ ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 142.6 ( t$), 139.9$ ( t$), 139.6$ ( t$), 139.4$ ( t$)$,
$139.2(t), 138.7(t), 137.6(t), 135.7(t), 135.6(t), 132.6(t), 129.8$ (t), 129.7 (t), 129.6 (t), 129.2 (t), 128.6 (q), 128.2 (q), $127.9(\mathrm{q})$, 122.8 (q), $86.3(\mathrm{t}, \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 86.2(\mathrm{t}, \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 84.1$ (q, $-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 82.9$ $(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 82.6(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 82.02(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 81.98(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 81.6(\mathrm{q}$, $-\mathrm{C} \equiv$ ), 23.9 (p, Me), $23.9(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Me}), 23.6(9, \mathrm{Me})$ and $23.0(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Me})$ (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 86.1 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.4 . \mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 86.45 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.35 \%$ ).

Isomeric 6,7,8,9,19,20,21,22-Octadehydro-5,10,18,23-tetra-methyl-1,14-dihydro[28]annulene-1,14-diones 6A and 6B.-A solution of compound $23(2.70 \mathrm{~g}, 6.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a mixture of pyridine ( $130 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), methanol $\left(60 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and ether ( $60 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added dropwise during 8 h to a refluxing and stirred solution of copper(II) acetate monohydrate ( 16.2 g ) in a mixture of pyridine ( $390 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), methanol ( $156 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and ether $\left(400 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$.at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After being refluxed and stirred for a further 30 min , the mixture was worked up as for the isolation of compound 5 . The product was chromatographed on silica gel $(4.2 \times 8.0 \mathrm{~cm})$. The fractions eluted with $40-60 \%$ dichloromethane in benzene afforded the major isomer $6 \mathbf{A}(368 \mathrm{mg}, 14 \%)$ of the octadehydrodihydro[28]annulenedione as yellow microcrystals, m.p. $156-163^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.) (from hexane-dichloromethane); $m / z 442\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 93 \%\right)$ and $189(100)\left(\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right.$ requires $\left.\mathrm{M}, 442.5\right)$; for UV data see Table 2 and Fig. 5; $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2180(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 1660(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1600$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ and 1005 and $995[(E)-\mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{CH}] ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 15.0$ and $\left.11.6, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{E}^{\prime}}\right), 7.62\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, dd,$J 15.0$ and $\left.11.6, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}}\right), 7.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$ 15.0 and $\left.11.7, \mathrm{H}^{\mathbf{B}^{\prime}}\right), 7.43\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 15.0\right.$ and $\left.11.5, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{E}}\right), 7.07(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 14.8$ and $\left.11.6, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{G}}\right), 6.65\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.6, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{F}^{\prime}}\right), 6.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $\left.11.6, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{H}}\right), 6.60\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.6, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}^{\prime}}\right), 6.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.8$ and $\left.11.5, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{F}}\right), 6.47\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.0, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{D}^{\prime}}\right), 6.46\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 15.0, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}}\right)$, $6.452\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.0, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}^{\prime}}\right), 6.447\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.0, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{D}}\right), 2.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{d}}\right), 2.07\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{a}}\right), 2.04\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ and $2.01\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{D}\right) 7.47-7.17(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, outer H$), 7.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 13$, inner H$), 7.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 13$, inner H$), 6.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 13$, inner H$)$, $6.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 13$, inner H), $6.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 13$, inner H$), 2.54(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 2.50(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$ and $2.46(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right) 8.05-$ $7.82(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, outer H$), 5.31(1 \mathrm{H}$, br, inner H$), 5.17(1 \mathrm{H}$, br, inner H), $5.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$, inner H$), 4.99(1 \mathrm{H}$, br, inner H$), 4.47$ ( 1 H , br, inner H), $2.72(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 2.69(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 2.60(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$ and $2.55(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$ and see Fig. 3; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 188.7(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, $187.7(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 144.7(\mathrm{t}), 140.7(\mathrm{t}), 140.0(\mathrm{t}), 139.7(\mathrm{t}), 139.39(\mathrm{t})$, 139.37 (t), $138.28(t), 138.26(t), 138.0(t), 131.1(t), 130.9(t)$, 130.8 (t), 129.9 (t), 128.3 (t), 127.84 (q), 127.83 (q), 127.6 (q), 123.3 (q), $84.0(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 83.4(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 83.2(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 83.1(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv)$, $82.6(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 82.4(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 82.3(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 81.9(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 23.65(\mathrm{p}$, Me ), 23.57 (p, Me), 22.5 (p, Me) and 22.1 (p, Me) (Found: C, $86.55 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.0 . \mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 86.85 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.9 \%$ ).

The later fractions eluted with $65 \%$ dichloromethane in benzene afforded the minor isomer $6 \mathrm{~B}(12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \%)$ as orange microcrystals, m.p. $196-199^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.) (from hexane-dichloromethane); $m / z 442.1958\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right.$ requires M , 442.1983); for UV data see Table 2; $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2170(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 1630$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1590(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ and $1000[(E)-\mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{CH}] ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$ 14.9 and $\left.11.8, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{E}^{\prime}}\right), 7.61\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, dd, $J 14.8$ and $\left.11.9, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}^{\prime}}\right), 7.57(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 14.6$ and $\left.12.0, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}}\right), 7.28\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, dd, $J 16.0$ and $\left.11.1, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{E}}\right), 6.96$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.8\right.$ and $\left.11.7, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{G}}\right), 6.90\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 14.6, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}}\right), 6.77(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{d}, J 12.0, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}\right), 6.70\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 12.1, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{F}^{\prime}}\right), 6.61\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.6, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}^{\prime}}\right)$, $6.60\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.5, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{H}}\right), 6.59\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.6\right.$ and $\left.11.1, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{F}}\right), 6.52$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 14.9, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{D}^{\prime}}\right), 6.51\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 14.9, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}^{\prime}}\right), 6.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.9$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{D}}\right), 2.14\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{a}}\right), 2.10\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{c}}\right), 2.05\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ and $2.03\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{d}}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right) 9.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 12.3$, outer H$), 8.02$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J \sim 12$, outer H$), 7.92-7.72(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, outer H$), 7.51(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J 14$, outer H), $4.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$, inner H), $4.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$, inner H), $3.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$, inner $\mathrm{H}, 3.28(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$, inner H$), 2.78(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$, $2.69(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$ and $2.66(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$ and see Fig. $4 ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 189.5(\mathrm{q}$, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 188.0(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 143.7$ (t), 141.4 (t), 140.9 (t), 139.7 ( t$)$, $138.72(t), 138.68(t), 138.46(t), 136.8(t), 135.3(t), 133.5(t), 132.8$ (t), 130.0 (t), 129.7 (t), 129.4 (q), 129.0 (q), 126.9 (t), 125.8 (q), $123.3(\mathrm{q}), 90.1(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 84.3(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 83.5(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 83.4(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv)$,
83.1 ( $\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv$ ), 82.9 ( $\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv$ ), 82.1 ( $\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv$ ), 76.2 ( $\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 24.3$ (p, $\mathrm{Me}), 23.7$ (p, Me), 22.5 (p, Me) and 16.3 (p, Me).

8,13-Dimethylicosa-3,5,7,13,15,17-hexaene-2,19-dione 19.-A solution of the trienyne ketone $14^{16}(690 \mathrm{mg}, 4.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( $25 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added dropwise during 5 min to a stirred solution of copper(II) acetate monohydrate ( 10.3 g ) in a mixture of pyridine ( $40 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and methanol ( $40 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) at room temperature. After being stirred for 4 h at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the mixture was worked up as for the isolation of compound 18. The product was chromatographed on alumina ( $3.2 \times 5.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). The fractions eluted with $10-20 \%$ dichloromethane in benzene afforded the dione 19 ( $533 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ) as yellow cubes, m.p. 172$174{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.) (from THF); $m / z 318\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 53 \%\right.$ ) and 217 (100) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right.$ requires $\left.\mathrm{M}, 318.4\right)$; $\lambda_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{nm} 239(\varepsilon 11900)$, 285sh ( 17100 ), 321 sh ( 31300 ), 331 ( 34100 ), 373 ( 32 200), 387 ( 32000 ) and $420 \mathrm{sh}(24200) ; v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2170$ and $2100(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C})$, 1660 and $1640(\mathrm{C}=0), 1605(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ and 1000 and $960[(E)-$ $\mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{CH}] ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.24\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 15.5\right.$ and $\left.11.3, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}}\right), 7.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$ 14.7 and $\left.11.4, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{D}}\right), 6.51\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.6, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{E}}\right), 6.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 15.0$ and 11.3, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}}\right), 6.19\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.6, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}\right), 2.30\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ and $2.04\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{b}}\right.$ ) (Found: C, 83.2; H, 7.2. $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires C, $83.0 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.0 \%$ ).

3,13,18,28-Tetramethyltriaconta-3,5,8,10,12,18,20,22,25,27-decaene-1,14,16,29-tetrayne-7,24-dione 24.-To a stirred solution of the dione $19(110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the aldehyde $\mathbf{1 0}$ $(366 \mathrm{mg}, 3.89 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry benzene $\left(20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise during 20 min a mixture of ethanolic sodium ethoxide $\left(0.23 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ in dry benzene $\left(0.27 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. After being stirred for 4 h at $6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the mixture was worked up as for the isolation of compound 22. The product was chromatographed on silica gel $(3.2 \times 8.0 \mathrm{~cm})$. The fractions eluted with $30 \%$ dichloromethane in benzene afforded the dione $24(117 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%)$ as red cubes, m.p. $106-109^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.) (from hexane-THF); $m / z 470\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$ (field desorption method) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right.$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 470.5$; $\lambda_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{nm} 258(\varepsilon 26500), 372(51700)$ and $415(54100) ; v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ $3250(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 2180$ and $2080(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 1660$ and $1640(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ and $1000[(E)-\mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{CH}] ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.69\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 15.2\right.$ and $\left.11.4, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}}\right), 7.39$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 15.2\right.$ and $\left.11.3, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{E}}\right), 7.09\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.7\right.$ and $11.4, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{G}}$ ), $6.52\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J \sim 10, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{H}}\right), 6.49\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J \sim 16, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{D}}\right), 6.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J \sim 15$ and $\left.\sim 11, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{F}}\right), 6.46\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J \sim 11, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}\right), 6.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $\left.\sim 16, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}}\right), 3.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 2.04\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ and $2.03(6 \mathrm{H}$, s, $\mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{a}}$ ) (Found: C, 86.5; H , 6.7. $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 86.8 ; \mathrm{H}$, $6.4 \%$ ).

Isomeric 6,7,8,9,21,22,23,24-Octadehydro-5,10,20,25-tetra-methyl-1,14-dihydro[30]annulene-1,14-diones 7A and 7B.-A solution of compound 24 ( $254 \mathrm{mg}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a mixture of ether ( $100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and THF $\left(50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added dropwise during 11 h to a stirred and refluxing solution of copper(II) acetate monohydrate ( 1.10 g ) in a mixture of pyridine $\left(50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, methanol $\left(70 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and ether ( $120 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After being refluxed and stirred for 1 h , the mixture was worked up as for the isolation of compound 5 . The product was chromatographed on alumina ( $3.2 \times 9 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). The fractions eluted with $10 \%$ dichloromethane in benzene afforded the octadehydrodihydro[30]annulenedione $7(43 \mathrm{mg}, 17 \%$ ) as orange microcrystals, m.p. 204-206 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.) (from benzene-THF); $m / z$ $469\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 15 \%\right)$ and $55(100)\left(\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right.$ requires $\left.\mathrm{M}, 468.5\right)$; for UV data see Table 2 and Fig. 5; $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2190$ (C=C), 1640 $(\mathrm{C}=0), 1605(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ and $1000[(E)-\mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{CH}]$ (Found: C, 86.9; $\mathrm{H}, 6.3 . \mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 87.15 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.0 \%$ ). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data showed that compound 7 consisted of two isomers in the ratio $4: 3$. The structural and chemical-shift assignments were made after separation of the isomeric mixture using gelpermeation chromatography. The major isomer 7A: $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.98$ (1 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.9$ and $\left.11.9, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}}\right), 7.66\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 15.0\right.$ and $\left.11.3, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}^{\prime}}\right)$,
$7.60\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 16.0\right.$ and $\left.11.2, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{E}}\right)$, $7.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.1$ and $\left.11.5, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{G}}\right), 7.37\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.3\right.$ and $\left.11.7, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{E}^{\prime}}\right), 7.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $14.7, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{D}}$ ), $7.04\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.7, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{H}^{\prime}}\right), 7.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.5$ and $\left.11.6, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{F}}\right), 6.79\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.5\right.$ and $\left.11.9, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{G}^{\prime}}\right), 6.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $\left.11.5, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{H}}\right), 6.49\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.9, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}\right), 6.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.1$ and $\left.11.2, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{F}}\right), 6.43\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.1, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}\right), 6.39\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 14.9, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}}\right)$, $6.36\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.1, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}^{\prime}}\right), 6.20\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 16.0, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{D}}\right), 2.09(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{d}}\right), 2.08\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{a}}\right), 1.92\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ and $1.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{b}}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 189.2$ (q, C=O), 188.5 (q, C=O), 145.1 (t), 142.09 (t), $142.07(\mathrm{t}), 141.7(\mathrm{t}), 140.1(\mathrm{q}), 139.7(\mathrm{t}), 139.4(\mathrm{t}), 138.0(\mathrm{t}), 137.7$ (t), 137.0 (t), 135.3 (t), 135.2 ( t$), 134.7$ ( t$), 130.3$ (q), 130.0 ( t$)$, $129.5(\mathrm{t}), 129.4(\mathrm{q}), 128.8(\mathrm{t}), 128.4(\mathrm{t}), 126.7(\mathrm{t}), 125.2(\mathrm{t}), 124.7$ (q), 121.2 (t), 91.4 (q,-C $), 84.7$ ( $\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 83.9(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 83.9(\mathrm{q}$, ${ }^{-} \mathrm{C} \equiv$ ), 83.7 ( $\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv$ ), 83.3 ( $\left.\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv\right)$ ), 82.9 ( $\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv$ ), 75.7 ( $\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv$ ), 24.9 (p, Me), 23.9 (p, Me), 21.5 (p, Me) and 16.1 (p, Me). The minor isomer 7B: $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.17\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.9\right.$ and $\left.11.8, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}}\right), 7.75(1$ H , dd, $J 14.9$ and $\left.11.7, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{E}}\right), 7.62\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 15.8\right.$ and $\left.11.1, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{E}^{\prime}}\right)$, $7.51\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.6\right.$ and $\left.12.2, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}^{\prime}}\right), 7.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.8$ and $\left.11.7, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{G}}\right), 7.29\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.7\right.$ and $\left.11.4, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{G}^{\prime}}\right), 7.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $\left.14.6, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}^{\prime}}\right), 7.12\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 12.4, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}^{\prime}}\right), 6.58\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.7, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}\right)$, $6.47\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.7, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{H}}\right), 6.412\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.3, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{H}}\right), 6.405(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 14.5$ and $\left.10.2, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{F}^{\prime}}\right), 6.39\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, dd, $J 14.7$ and $\left.11.0, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{F}}\right)$, $6.33\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.0, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}}\right), 6.32\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 14.9, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{D}}\right), 6.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $15.9, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{D}}$ ), $2.04\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.01\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{c}}\right), 1.99(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{a}}$ ) and 1.97 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{d}}$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 189.0(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 188.4$ ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), $144.3,142.1,141.3,141.2,139.9,138.7,138.5,138.2,137.5$, $136.1,134.1,130.8,129.39,129.36,128.3,128.3,126.6,125.6$, 124.1, 122.6, 89.8 (q, $-\mathrm{C} \equiv$ ), 84.1 ( $\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv$ ), 83.9 ( $\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv$ ). 83.7 ( q , $-\mathrm{C} \equiv$ ), 83.4 ( $\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv$ ), 82.3 ( $\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv$ ), 82.0 ( $\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv$ ), 76.6 ( $\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv$ ), 24.2 (p, Me), 22.9 (p, Me), $22.0(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Me})$ and 16.7 (p, Me).

10,15-Dimethyldocosa-3,5,7,9,15,17,19-heptaene-11,13-diyne-2,21-dione 20.-A solution of the trienyne ketone $14^{16}(570 \mathrm{mg}$, $3.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the tetraenyne ketone $15^{2}(660 \mathrm{mg}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( $30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added dropwise during 20 min to a stirred solution of copper(II) acetate monohydrate ( 7.0 g ) in a mixture of pyridine ( $45 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and methanol ( $45 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) at room temperature. After being stirred at room temperature overnight, the mixture was worked up as for the isolation of compound 5 . The product was chromatographed on alumina ( $3.2 \times 5.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). The fractions eluted with benzene-dichloromethane ( $3: 2$ ) afforded the dione $\mathbf{2 0}(822 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%)$ as orange cubes, m.p. 184 $186^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.) (from hexane-THF); $m / z 344\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 41 \%\right)$ and $95(100)\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right.$ requires $\left.\mathrm{M}, 344.4\right) ; \lambda_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{nm} 249(\varepsilon 13900)$, 286sh (19 800), 329sh (39500), 345 ( 50400 ), 400 ( 45400 ) and 434sh ( 30100 ); $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2170$ and $2100(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1660(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, $1600(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C})$ and 1000 and $960[(E)-\mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{CH}] ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J 15.4$ and $\left.10.6, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}^{\prime}}\right), 7.19\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 15.4\right.$ and $\left.11.1, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}}\right), 7.07(1$ H , dd, $J 14.8$ and $\left.11.6, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{D}^{\prime}}\right), 6.87\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.8\right.$ and $11.5, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{F}}$ ), $6.75\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.8\right.$ and $\left.11.2, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{D}}\right), 6.50\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.5, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{E}^{\prime}}\right)$, $6.49\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.3, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{G}}\right), 6.44-6.38\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{E}}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}^{\prime}}\right)$, $6.19\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.4, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}^{\prime}}\right), 6.17\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.4, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}\right), 2.30(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{a}}$ or $\left.\mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{d}}\right), 2.29\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{d}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{a}}\right), 2.03\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{c}}\right.$ ) and $2.02\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\text {b }}\right.$ ) (Found: C, 83.4; H, 7.1. $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires C, 83.7; H, 7.0\%).

3,15,20,30-Tetramethyldotriaconta-3,5,8,10,12,14,20,22,24,-27,29-undecaene-1,16,18,31-tetrayne-7,26-dione 25.-To a stirred solution of the dione $20(540 \mathrm{mg}, 1.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the aldehyde $10(1.47 \mathrm{~g}, 15.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry benzene $\left(140 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise during 1 h a mixture of ethanolic sodium ethoxide ( $2.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) in dry benzene ( $2.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). After being stirred for 1.5 h at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the mixture was worked up as for the isolation of compound 22 except for the use of dichloromethane as the extraction solvent. The product was chromatographed on silica gel ( $3.6 \times 6.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). The fractions eluted with hexanebenzene ( $1: 9$ ) afforded the dione 25 ( $467 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ). Recrystallization from hexane-THF afforded red needles, m.p. 80-
$81^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.), which contained one molecule of THF per molecule of dione $25 ; m / z 497\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right)$ (FAB method) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right.$ requires $\left.\mathrm{M}, 496.6\right)$; $\lambda_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{nm} 265(\varepsilon 30400)$, 322 sh ( 38600 ), 381 ( 63400 ) and 426 (58 300); $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3290$ and $3234(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 2176$ and $2087(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 1641(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1605(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ and $1001[(E)-\mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{CH}] ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.69\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 15.4\right.$ and $\left.11.3, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}^{\prime}}\right)$, $7.68\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 15.4\right.$ and $\left.11.5, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}}\right), 7.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 15.1$ and 11.3 , $\left.\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{E}^{\prime}}\right), 7.35\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 15.1\right.$ and $\left.11.4, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{E}}\right), 7.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.8$ and $\left.11.4, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{G}^{\prime}}\right), 6.88\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, dd, $J 14.8$ and $\left.11.4, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{G}}\right), 6.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$ 14.5 and $\left.11.3, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{I}}\right), 6.52-6.39\left(11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}^{\prime}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}^{\prime}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{D}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{D}^{\prime}}\right.$, $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{F}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{F}^{\prime}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{H}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{H}^{\prime}}$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{J}}\right), 3.75\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right.$ of THF $), 3.488(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 3.484(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 2.03(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$ and 1.85 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ of THF); $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 189.12$ ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 189.06 (q, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 142.7 ( t$), 142.6$ ( t$), 141.5(\mathrm{t}), 139.7$ ( t$), 139.6$ ( t$), 139.5(\mathrm{t})$, $138.9(t), 138.8(t), 135.8(t), 135.7(t), 134.7(t), 133.9(t), 132.4(t)$, 132.2 (t), 129.7 (t), 129.7 (t), 129.3 (t), $128.8(\mathrm{t}), 128.3(\mathrm{q}), 128.2$ $(\mathrm{q}), 123.1(\mathrm{q}), 120.8(\mathrm{q}), 86.3(\mathrm{t}, \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 86.2(\mathrm{t}, \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 84.2(\mathrm{q}$, $-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 83.4(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 82.03(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 82.01(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 81.8(\mathrm{q}$, $-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 81.2(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 68.0\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ of THF), $25.6\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ of THF), 23.9 (p, Me), 23.8 (p, Me), 23.04 (p, Me) and 22.95 (p, Me) (Found: C, 84.2; H, 7.2. $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 84.5 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.1 \%$ ).

6,7,8,9,21,22,23,24-Octadehydro-5,10,20,25-tetramethyl-1,14-dihydro[32]annulene-1,14-dione 8.-To a stirred and refluxing solution of copper(II) acetate monohydrate $(6.00 \mathrm{~g})$ in a mixture of pyridine ( $162 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), methanol ( $46 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and ether ( $162 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise during 9 h a solution of compound 25 ( $780 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a mixture of pyridine $\left(80 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, methanol ( $6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), ether ( $80 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and THF ( $25 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). After being refluxed and stirred for 1 h , the mixture was worked up as for the isolation of compound 5 . The product was chromatographed on silica gel $(4.2 \times 7.0 \mathrm{~cm})$. The fractions eluted with hexane-dichloromethane (1:4) afforded the octadehydrodihydro[32]annulenedione 8 ( $106 \mathrm{mg}, 11 \%$ ) as red needles, m.p. $178-180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.) (from hexane-THF); m/z $495\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right)$ (FAB method) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right.$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 494.6$ ); for UV data see Table 2 and Fig. $5 ; v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ $2177(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 1657(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1605(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ and $1003[(E)-\mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{CH}]$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.683\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 15.3\right.$ and $\left.11.6, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}^{\prime}}\right), 7.680(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 15.0$ and $\left.11.9, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}}\right), 7.45\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.9\right.$ and $\left.11.5, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{E}}\right), 7.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.9$ and $\left.11.6, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{E}^{\prime}}\right), 7.04\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, dd, $J 14.7$ and $\left.11.4, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{G}^{\prime}}\right), 6.87(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 15.0$ and $\left.11.6, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{I}}\right), 6.81\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.7\right.$ and $\left.11.7, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{G}}\right), 6.61(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{d}, J 11.6, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}^{\prime}}\right), 6.576\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.9, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}\right), 6.573(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.6$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{J}}\right), 6.54\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.6, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{H}^{\prime}}\right), 6.50\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.8\right.$ and $\left.11.4, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{F}^{\prime}}\right)$, $6.48\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 15.3\right.$ and $\left.11.3, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{F}}\right), 6.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 15.9$ and 11.3 , $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{H}}\right), 6.452\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 14.9, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{D}^{\prime}}\right), 6.452\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.3, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}^{\prime}}\right), 6.44(1$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.0, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}}\right), 6.40\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 14.9, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{D}}\right), 2.07\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{d}}\right)$, $2.043\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)$, $2.039\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ and $2.01\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ $188.5(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 187.2(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 144.5(\mathrm{t}), 144.2$ (t), 142.7 (t), 139.6 ( $t$ ), 139.49 ( $t$ ), 139.46 ( $t), 139.2$ ( $t), 139.1$ ( $t), 138.18(t)$, 138.14 (t), 136.3 (t), 132.43 (t), 132.37 (t), 130.9 (t), 130.41 (t), 130.37 (t), 130.32 (t), 127.7 (q), 127.6 (q), 127.4 (t), 122.9 (q), 121.4 (q), 84.1 (q, -C $\equiv$ ), 83.5 (q, $-\mathrm{C} \equiv$ ), 83.4 ( $\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 83.2$ (q, $\mathrm{C} \equiv), 82.5(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 82.1(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 81.9(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 81.6(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv)$, 23.7 ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Me}$ ), 23.3 ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Me}$ ), 22.8 ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Me}$ ) and 22.4 ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Me}$ ) (Found: C, 87.2; $\mathrm{H}, 6.4 . \mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 87.4 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.1 \%$ ).

10,15-Dimethyltetracosa-3,5,7,9,15,17,19,21-octaene-11,13-diyne-2,23-dione 21.-A solution of the tetraenyne ketone $\mathbf{1 5}^{2}$ $(361 \mathrm{mg}, 1.94 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $\left(5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added dropwise during 10 min to a stirred solution of copper(II) acetate monohydrate ( 775 mg ) in a mixture of pyridine $\left(8 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and methanol ( $8 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) at room temperature. After being stirred for 30 h at room temperature, the mixture was worked up as for the isolation of compound 5 . The product was chromatographed on alumina ( $3.2 \times 5.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). The fractions eluted with benzenedichloromethane ( $1: 1$ ) afforded the dione $21(197 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ ) as red needles, m.p. $213-215^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.) (from THF); $m / z 370$
$\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 17 \%\right)$ and $95(100)\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right.$ requires $\left.\mathrm{M}, 370.7\right)$; $\lambda_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{nm} 263$ ( $\varepsilon 15100$ ), 357sh (49200), 359 ( 62400 ), 405 ( 50600 ) and $452 \mathrm{sh}(30700) ; v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2286(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 1672$ and $1647(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1610$ and $1597(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C})$ and 1005 and $965[(E)-$ $\mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{CH}] ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.18\left(2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, dd, $J 15.5$ and $\left.11.2, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}}\right), 6.87(2 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 14.8$ and $\left.11.4, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{F}}\right), 6.74\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.7\right.$ and $\left.11.3, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{D}}\right), 6.48(2$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.3, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{G}}\right), 6.40\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.7\right.$ and $11.3, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}}$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{E}}\right)$, $6.17\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.5, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}\right), 2.29\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ and $2.02(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{b}}$ ) (Found: C, 84.1; $\mathrm{H}, 6.9 . \mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires C, 84.3; H , $7.1 \%$ ).

3,15,20,32-Tetramethyltetratriaconta-3,5,8,10,12,14,20,22,24, 26,29,31-dodecaene-1,16,18,33-tetrayne-7,28-dione 26.-To a stirred solution of the dione $21(260 \mathrm{mg}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the aldehyde $10(660 \mathrm{mg}, 7.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry toluene $\left(40 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise during 1 h a mixture of ethanolic sodium ethoxide $\left(1.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ in dry toluene ( $1.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). After being stirred for 4.5 h at $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the mixture was worked up as for the isolation of compound 25 . The product was chromatographed on silica gel $(2.6 \times 9.5 \mathrm{~cm})$. The fractions eluted with $30 \%$ dichloromethane in benzene afforded the dione 26 ( $172 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \%$ ) as dark red microcrystals, m.p. $139-141^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.) (from hexane-THF); m/z $523\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right)$ (FAB method) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right.$ requires M, 522.6); $\lambda_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{nm} 265(\varepsilon 25500), 391(67000)$ and 435 (61 400); $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3250(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 2180$ and $2080(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 1650$ and $1640(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1600(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ and $1000[(E)-\mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{CH}] ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.68$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 15.3\right.$ and $\left.11.3, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}}\right), 7.34\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 15.1\right.$ and $11.4, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{E}}$ ), $6.88\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.8\right.$ and $\left.11.4, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{G}}\right), 6.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.5$ and 11.3 , $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{l}}\right), 6.50-6.39\left(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{D}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{F}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{H}}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{J}}\right), 3.48(2$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}$ ), $2.04\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{a}}\right.$ ) and $2.02\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ (Found: C, 87.4; $\mathrm{H}, 6.6 . \mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 87.3 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.6 \%$ ).

## 6,7,8,9,23,24,25,26-Octadehydro-5,10,22,27-tetramethyl-

 1,14-dihydro[34]annulene-1,14-dione 9.-A solution of compound $26(637 \mathrm{mg}, 1.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a mixture of pyridine ( 130 $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ), methanol ( $26 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), ether ( $65 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and THF ( $60 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added dropwise during 10 h to a stirred and refluxed solution of copper(II) acetate monohydrate ( 4.87 g ) in a mixture of pyridine $\left(260 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, methanol $\left(26 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and ether $\left(200 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After being refluxed and stirred for 1 h , the mixture was worked up as for the isolation of compound 5 . The product was chromatographed on alumina $(3.2 \times 4.0 \mathrm{~cm})$. The fractions eluted with $5 \%$ dichloromethane in benzene afforded the octadehydrodihydro[34]annulenedione $9(77 \mathrm{mg}, 12 \%)$ as dark red microcrystals, m.p. $164-165^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.) (from hexanedichloromethane); $m / z \quad 521 \quad\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right) \quad$ (FAB method) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right.$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 520.6$ ); for UV data see Table 2 and Fig. 5; $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2170(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 1660$ and $1640(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1600(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ and $1000[(E)-\mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{CH}] ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.08\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 15.0\right.$ and $\left.11.7, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}}\right), 7.68$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.8\right.$ and $\left.11.8, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{E}}\right), 7.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.5$ and 12.2 , $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\mathbf{B}^{\prime}}\right), 7.41\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, dd, $J 15.9$ and $\left.11.0, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{E}^{\prime}}\right), 7.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 14.6$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}^{\prime}}\right), 7.10\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 12.2, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}^{\prime}}\right), 7.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.6$ and 11.5 , $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{G}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{I}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{G}^{\prime}}$ or $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{I}^{\prime}}\right), 7.01\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, dd, $J 14.6$ and $11.8, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{G}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{I}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{G}^{\prime}}$ or $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{I}^{\prime}}\right), 6.99\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, dd, $J 14.6$ and $11.6, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{G}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{I}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{G}^{\prime}}$ or $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{I}^{\prime}}\right), 6.91$ ( 1 H , dd, $J 14.6$ and $11.3, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{G}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{I}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{G}^{\prime}}$ or $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{I}^{\prime}}$ ), $6.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $\left.11.9, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}\right), 6.47-6.29\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{F}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{H}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{F}^{\prime}}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{H}^{\prime}}\right), 6.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J 11.5, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{J}}$ or $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{J}^{\prime}}\right), 6.42\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.5, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{J}^{\prime}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{J}}\right), 6.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $\left.15.1, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}}\right), 6.31\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 14.8, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{D}}\right), 6.22\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.9, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{D}^{\prime}}\right)$, $2.02\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{b}}\right.$ or $\mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{c}}$ ), $2.01\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{a}}\right), 1.99\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{c}}\right.$ or $\mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{b}}$ ) and $1.98\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{d}}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 189.0(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 188.4(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, 144.3 (t), 144.2 (t), 142.1 (t), 140.9 (t), 140.1 ( $t), 139.6(t), 139.5$ (t), 138.9 (t), 138.4 (t), 136.4 (t), 136.1 (t), 134.9 (t), 134.1 ( $t)$, $133.9(\mathrm{t}), 133.8(\mathrm{t}), 132.6(\mathrm{t}), 130.7(\mathrm{t}), 129.7(\mathrm{t}), 129.1(\mathrm{q}), 127.7$ (t), 126.5 (q), 125.4 (t), 121.8 (q), $120.5(\mathrm{q}), 89.4(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 84.3$ $(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 84.0(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 83.6(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 83.0(\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv), 82.0(\mathrm{q},-$ $\mathrm{C} \equiv$ ), 81.6 ( $\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{C} \equiv$ ), 23.7 (p, Me), 22.8 (p, Me), 22.2 (p, Me) and 16.8 ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Me}$ ); one acetylenic carbon signal is missing probably due to overlap with the $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ signal (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 87.0 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.3$.$\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 87.7 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.2 \%$ ). Attempts to improve the elemental analysis failed.
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